

First Order Logic – Lab 3

Marijke Keet

KRDB Research Centre, Faculty of Computer Science
Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy
`keet@inf.unibz.it`

December 7, 2007

FOL and conceptual data models (optional)

- UML class diagrams in FOL, see e.g. Fig.12 & 13 in §2 Berardi, D., Calvanese, D., De Giacomo, G. Reasoning on UML class diagrams. *Artificial Intelligence*, 2005, 168(1-2): 70-118. <http://www.inf.unibz.it/~calvanese/papers-html/AIJ-2005.html>
- Examples of deduction in action (with explanation) in Icom: <http://www.inf.unibz.it/~franconi/icom/tutorial-1.html>
- Recollect the ORM diagram in FOL Lab 1

From Natural Language to First order logic

- Each animal is an organism
All animals are organisms
If it is an animal then it is an organism
 $\forall x(Animal(x) \rightarrow Organism(x))$
- Each student must be registered for a degree programme
 $\forall x(registered_for(x, y) \rightarrow Student(x) \wedge DegreeProgramme(y))$
 $\forall x(Student(x) \rightarrow \exists y registered_for(x, y))$
- Aliens exist
 $\exists x Alien(x)$
- There are books that are heavy
 $\exists x(Book(x) \wedge heavy(x))$

First order logic: equivalences

- Those from PL + New in FOL: see lecture slides p30¹
 - $\neg\forall x\phi(x) \equiv \exists x\neg\phi(x)$
 - $\neg\exists x\phi(x) \equiv \forall x\neg\phi(x)$
- For example:
 - There does not exist a student who is also a professor
 - (1) $\neg\exists x(Student(x) \rightarrow Professor(x))$
 - no student is a professor / students are not also professors
 - (2) $\forall x\neg(Student(x) \rightarrow Professor(x))$
 - (3) $\neg\exists x\neg\neg(Student(x) \rightarrow Professor(x))$ (\forall -Def. in 2)
 - (4) $\neg\exists x(Student(x) \rightarrow Professor(x))$ (double negation 3)
- Of course, you still can play with the formula within the braces
 - (a) $\forall x\neg(\neg Student(x) \vee Professor(x))$ (implication 2)
 - (b) $\forall x(\neg\neg Student(x) \wedge \neg Professor(x))$ (De Morgan to a)
 - (c) $\forall x(Student(x) \wedge \neg Professor(x))$ (Double negation to b)

¹(remember the \forall definition: $\forall x\phi(x)$ if and only if $\neg\exists x\neg\phi(x)$)

From NL to FOL

- 1 Pizza is a type of Italian dish
- 2 Each book must have at least one author
- 3 Not all humans eat meat
- 4 For each thymidine phosphorylase, exactly one of the following holds: that thymidine phosphorylase binds some thymidine, that thymidine phosphorylase binds some phosphate.

From NL to FOL

- 1 Pizza is a type of Italian dish

$$\forall x(Pizza(x) \rightarrow ItalianDish(x))$$

- 2 Each book must have at least one author

$$\forall x(Book(x) \rightarrow \exists y(hasauthor(x, y) \wedge Author(y)))$$

- 3 Not all humans eat meat

$$\neg \forall x(Human(x) \rightarrow MeatEater(x)) \quad (i)$$

$$\exists x(Human(x) \wedge \neg MeatEater(x)) \quad (ii)$$

$$\neg \forall x, y(Human(x) \rightarrow eats(x, y) \wedge Meat(y)) \quad (iii)$$

$$\neg \forall x(Human(x) \rightarrow \exists y(eats(x, y) \wedge Meat(y))) \quad (iv)$$

Is there any difference between (i) & (ii) or between (iii) & (iv)? Prove it.

From NL to FOL

- For each thymidine phosphorylase, exactly one of the following holds: that thymidine phosphorylase binds some thymidine, that thymidine phosphorylase binds some phosphate.
(hint: formalise an exclusive-or constraint)
- Optional: If you like to know more about going from informal to formal, have a look at, e.g.: A.H.M. ter Hofstede, and H.A. (Erik) Proper. (1998). How to Formalize It? Formalization Principles for Information Systems Development Methods. In: *Information and Software Technology*, 40(10), 519-540.
<http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/terhofstede98how.html>

Falsifiable sentences

Recollect that ϕ is falsifiable if there is some (\mathcal{I}, α) that does not satisfy ϕ .

Show a counterexample to prove that the following sentences are falsifiable.

1 $\exists xP(x) \rightarrow \forall xP(x)$

2 $\forall x(\phi \vee \psi) \rightarrow \forall x\phi$

3 $\exists x(\phi \vee \psi) \rightarrow \exists x\phi$

Equivalences

- 1 Rewrite $\neg\exists x\forall y(P(x) \rightarrow Q(y))$ into $\forall x\exists y(P(x) \wedge \neg Q(y))$
- 2 Simplify $\neg\exists x\neg(P(x) \vee Q(x)) \wedge \forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$
- 3 Are these equivalent/valid? prove it
 - $(\neg\forall y\neg P(y) \vee P(c)) \rightarrow (\forall y\neg P(y) \rightarrow P(c))$
where P is a unary relation symbol and c a constant symbol
 - $\exists x\phi(x) \wedge \exists x\psi$ and $\exists x(\phi(x) \wedge \psi)$
note that φ , $\exists x\varphi$, and $\forall x\varphi$ are provably equivalent, and x does not occur as a free variable of ψ
- 4 ...