Natural Language Generation for Agglutinating African Languages – A brief overview

C. Maria Keet

Department of Computer Science University of Cape Town, South Africa mkeet@cs.uct.ac.za

SADiLaR Digital Humanities Colloquium, online, 18 May 2022

- Context
- Notes on NCB languages
- 2 Rule-based NLG
 - What is CNL, NLG?
 - Generating basic sentences in isiZulu
 - Extending basic sentences
- On broadening and generalising results
 - Other languages
 - Use of the algorithms in applications



Motivation

- Context
- Notes on NCB languages

Rule-based NLG

- What is CNL, NLG?
- Generating basic sentences in isiZulu
- Extending basic sentences
- 3 On broadening and generalising results
 - Other languages
 - Use of the algorithms in applications

Summary

Motivation

Context

Notes on NCB languages

2 Rule-based NLG

- What is CNL, NLG?
- Generating basic sentences in isiZulu
- Extending basic sentences
- 3 On broadening and generalising results
 - Other languages
 - Use of the algorithms in applications

Summary

- >1 billion people in Africa, most do or can speak a language other than English or French
 - e.g., South Africa: IsiZulu and isiXhosa most widely spoken languages, by first language speakers
 - 23% or about 11 million people isiZulu, 8 million (isiXhosa)

- >1 billion people in Africa, most do or can speak a language other than English or French
 - e.g., South Africa: IsiZulu and isiXhosa most widely spoken languages, by first language speakers
 - 23% or about 11 million people isiZulu, 8 million (isiXhosa)
- People use computers for work, social media...
 - Doing business, government services provision, etc in one's own language, beyond English and French
 - (The "untapped billion", in FAANG's terminology)
- ... but there is very limited ICT support

- NLP tools also for African languages proper (not just MT through English)
- Requires tools with African languages in at least the interface, not just some 'pretty pictures and icons'
- Need to transform structured data and structured knowledge into text
- Structured input is represented in, a.o.: XML, RDF, OWL, SQL, JSON, spreadsheets, csv files

Structured input - examples



Structured sentences – examples for knowledge-to-text

• Electronic health records and patient discharge notes generation

• Getting the relevant business logic into your app

- Querying the data with conceptual queries in OBDA
- And many other areas; e.g., question generation, intelligent textbooks, automation of language learning exercises

Structured sentences – examples for knowledge-to-text

• Electronic health records and patient discharge notes generation

- e.g., SNOMED CT, OpenMRS localisation
- "The patient has as symptom fever and dizziness"
- "The patient must drink water when taking the pills" "If the patient takes the pills, then he must drink water"
- Getting the relevant business logic into your app
 - Requirements engineering, competency questions
 - "Which animals eat impalas?"
- Querying the data with conceptual queries in OBDA
 - "Show me all employees who are not working on a project"
- And many other areas; e.g., question generation, intelligent textbooks, automation of language learning exercises

Structured sentences – examples for knowledge-to-text

• Electronic health records and patient discharge notes generation

- e.g., SNOMED CT, OpenMRS localisation
- "The patient has as symptom fever and dizziness"
- "The patient must drink water **when** taking the pills" "If the patient takes the pills, **then** he must drink water"
- Getting the relevant business logic into your app
 - Requirements engineering, competency questions
 - "Which animals eat impalas?"
- Querying the data with conceptual queries in OBDA
 - "Show me all employees who are not working on a project"
- And many other areas; e.g., question generation, intelligent textbooks, automation of language learning exercises

Context

This talk

- Zooming in on:
 - Controlled Natural Languages
 - Natural Language Generation
- Sample use cases of the techniques
 - Language learning: automated question generation and marking
 - Financial inclusion (writing out numbers, toward TTS)

Motivation

- Context
- Notes on NCB languages

Rule-based NLG

- What is CNL, NLG?
- Generating basic sentences in isiZulu
- Extending basic sentences
- 3 On broadening and generalising results
 - Other languages
 - Use of the algorithms in applications

Summary

Basics

1500-2000 African languages (6 main groups) spoken by 1.2 billion people



э

Core characteristics relevant for computation (1/2)

- System of noun classes
 - Each noun is classified into a noun class
 - Meinhof identified 23 noun classes; not all of them used, varies by language; some refinements
 - Singular and plural pairings (with imprecision and underspecification)
 - There's semantics to the NCs (e.g., NC1 for humans, NC9 for animals, NC15 infinitive nouns); less important for computation

NC	AU	PRE	Stem (ex-	Meaning	Example (isiZulu)	
			ample)			
1	u-	m(u)-	-fana	humans and other umfa		boy
2	a-	ba-	-fana	animates	abafana	boys
1a	u-	-	-baba	kinship terms and proper ubaba		father
2a	0-	-	-baba	names obaba		fathers
3a	u-	-	-shizi	nonhuman ushizi		cheese
(2a)	0-	-	-shizi		oshizi	cheeses
3	u-	m(u)-	-fula	trees, plants, non-paired	umfula	river
4	i-	mi-	-fula	body parts	imifula	rivers
5	i-	(li)-	-gama	fruits, paired body parts,	igama	name
6	a-	ma-	-gama	and natural phenomena	amagama	names
7	i-	si-	-hlalo	inanimates and manner/	isihlalo	chair
8	i-	zi-	-hlalo	style	izihlalo	chairs
9a	i-	-	-rabha	nonhuman	irabha	rubber
(6)	a-	ma-	-rabha		amarabha	rubbers
9	i(n)-	-	-ja	animals	inja	dog
10	i-	zi(n)-	-ja		izinja	dogs
11	u-	(lu)-	-thi	inanimates and long thin	uthi	stick
(10)	i-	zi(n)-	-thi	objects	izinthi	sticks
14	u-	bu-	-hle	abstract nouns	ubuhle	beauty
15	u-	ku-	-cula	infinitives	ukucula	to sing
17		ku-		locatives, remote/ general		locative

Core characteristics relevant for computation (2/2)

- Many of the languages are agglutinating
 - i.e., what are separate words in, say, English are 'components' of a word
 - Ex: titukakimureeterahoganu (Runyankore, Uganda) 'We have never ever brought it to him'

Core characteristics relevant for computation (2/2)

- Many of the languages are agglutinating
 - i.e., what are separate words in, say, English are 'components' of a word
 - Ex: titukakimureeterahoganu (Runyankore, Uganda) 'We have never ever brought it to him' ti tu ka ki mu reet er a ho ga nu neg-(NC2 SC)-RM-(NC7 SC)-(NC1 SC)-VR-App-FV-Loc-Emp-Dec

Core characteristics relevant for computation (2/2)

- Many of the languages are *agglutinating*
 - i.e., what are separate words in, say, English are 'components' of a word
 - Ex: titukakimureeterahoganu (Runyankore, Uganda) 'We have never ever brought it to him' ti tu ka ki mu reet er a ho ga nu neg-(NC2 SC)-RM-(NC7 SC)-(NC1 SC)-VR-App-FV-Loc-Emp-Dec
- System of concordial agreement (more about that soon)

Illustrative examples of some consquences (isiZulu)

- 'and', enumerative: na-, phonologically conditioned
 - Ex: milk and butter: ubisi nebhotela
 - Ex: butter and milk: *ibhotela* <u>no</u>bisi

(-a+i-=-e-) (-a+u-=-o-)

Illustrative examples of some consquences (isiZulu)

- 'and', enumerative: na-, phonologically conditioned
 - Ex: milk and butter: ubisi nebhotela
 - Ex: butter and milk: *ibhotela <u>no</u>bisi*
- copulative (to be): depends on first letter of noun: *ng* for a-, o-, u-, else *y*-
 - Ex: is a dog: yinja
 - Ex: is a grandmother: *ngugogo*
- 'is not a': combine NEG SC with PRON, both depend on noun class
 Ex: an animal is not a plant: *isilwane <u>asiwona</u> umuthi* Ex: a plant is not an animal: *umuthi <u>awusona</u> isilwane*
- \bullet Other verbs: concordial agreement (\sim conjugation) based on noun class
 - Ex: The human eats: umuntu udla
 - Ex: The dog eats: *inja <u>i</u>dla*

(-a+i-=-e-)

(-a+u-=-o-)

Concordial agreement—example (isiZulu, South Africa)

Abafana abancane bazozithenga izincwadi ezinkulu **aba**-fana **aba**-ncane **ba**- zo- **zi**- thenga **izi**-ncwadi e-**zi**-nkulu **2**.boy **2**.small **2.SUBJ**-FUT-**10.OBJ**-buy **10**.book REL-**10**.big 'The little boys will buy the big books'

Motivation

- Context
- Notes on NCB languages
- Rule-based NLG
 - What is CNL, NLG?
 - Generating basic sentences in isiZulu
 - Extending basic sentences
- 3 On broadening and generalising results
 - Other languages
 - Use of the algorithms in applications

Summary

Motivation

- Context
- Notes on NCB languages

Rule-based NLG

- What is CNL, NLG?
- Generating basic sentences in isiZulu
- Extending basic sentences
- 3 On broadening and generalising results
 - Other languages
 - Use of the algorithms in applications

Summary

Short answer

- Ccontrolled Natural Language: constrain the grammar or vocabulary (or both) of a natural language
- Natural Language Generation: generate natural language text from structured data, information, or knowledge

Ex: S. Moolla's mobile healthcare app with canned text





Home » History » Cardiovascular History

Chest Pain

Have you had any recent pain in your chest? - Uke waba nobuhlungu esifubeni maduzane?

Does the pain radiate to your jaw, neck or arm? - Engabe ubuhlungu bakho bujikeleza emihlathini, emqaleni noma nasezingalweni?

Does anything precipitate or relieve the pain? - Ingabe ikhona into eyenza ubuhlungu buqhubeke noma eyehlisa ubuhlungu?

Dyspnoea

▶ < \overline\$ ▶ < \overline\$ ▶ < \overline\$ > \overline\$ \$\overline\$ \$\ov

Ex: Avalanche bulletins with **canned segments** [Winkler et al.(2014)]

Segment 1	Segment 2	Segment 3			Segment 4	Segment 5		
die Lawinen	können					gross werder	ı.	
nasse Lawinen		auch			oft	weit vorstos	sen.	
diese		{on_steep} Sonnenh		ngen	weiterhin	bis in die aperen Täler vorstossen.		
		in diesen Gebieten				bis in tiefe Lagen vorstossen.		
Segment 3a		Segment 1	Segment 2 Segment 3		nt 3b	Segment 4	Segment 5	
		the avalanches	can				reach large size.	
		wet avalanches		also		in many cases	reach a long way.	
{on_steep} sunn	y slopes	they				as before	reach the bare valleys.	
in these regions							reach low altitudes.	

Fig. 2. Schema of a phrase in the source language German (above). {on_steep} mark a subsegment with several further options. In this example, [blank] is one of the options in the third and fourth segment. In English, the order of the segments is different and segment 3 is split.

Ex: Business rules and conceptual data models with *static* **templates**



Each Course is taught by at least one Professor Each Professor teaches at least one Course

Ex.: Mixing grammar with templates

- Idea: store the words in their base form with POS tag, specify in the 'template' what needs to be done with it, use a realisation engine to finalise the sentence
- e.g., yes/no pronomial or gender as variables to set

Ex.: Mixing grammar with templates

- Idea: store the words in their base form with POS tag, specify in the 'template' what needs to be done with it, use a realisation engine to finalise the sentence
- e.g., yes/no pronomial or gender as variables to set
- Same stems or words and core structure of the grammar-infused template, geenrate different sentences; e.g.: John eats an apple He eats an apple He eats it John eats it

NLG, principal approaches to generate the text

- Canned text, with complete sentences (CNLs only)
- Canned segments to make a sentence (CNL mostly, not NLG)
- Templates (different types)
 - Mainly for English but also other languages
 - Hand-crafted ('old' approach) or ML/neural-based ('new')
- Grammar engines, such as [Kuhn(2013)], Grammatical Framework (http://www.grammaticalframework.org/), SimpleNLG [Gatt and Reiter(2009)]

See also: [Mahlaza and Keet(2020)]

Business rules/conceptual data models and logic reconstruction



BR: Each Course is taught by at least one Professor

- FOL: $\forall x \ (Course(x) \rightarrow \exists y \ (is_taught_by(x, y) \land Professor(y)))$
- DL: Course $\sqsubseteq \exists is_taught_by.Professor$
 - (i.e., a mandatory constraint / existential quantification)

```
<Constraint xsi:type="Mandatory"> <Constraint xsi:type="Mandatory">
<Text> -[Mandatory] Cada</Text>
<Description (Constraint)</pre>

<Constraint xsi:type="Mandatory"> <Constraint xsi:type="Mandatory">
<Text> -[Mandatory] Each</Text>
<Description (Constraint)</pre>

Constraint xsi:type="Mandatory">
<Text> -[Mandatory] Each
```






John eats apples – fancier templates

```
((template clause)
  (act 'eat')
  (agent ((template noun-phrase)
    (np-type PROPER)
    (head 'John')
    (gender MASCULINE)
    (pronominal NO)))
  (object ((template noun-phrase)
    (head 'apple')
    (pronominal YES))))
```

(act 'eat')
(agent ((template noun-phrase)
 (np-type PROPER)
 (head 'John')
 (gender FEMININE)
 (pronominal YES)))
(object ((template noun-phrase)
 (head 'apple')
 (pronominal NO))))

John eats it

She eats an apple

((template clause)

NL Grammars, illustration (1/2)

 $\begin{array}{rccc} Sentence & \longrightarrow & NounPhrase \mid VerbPhrase \\ NounPhrase & \longrightarrow & Adjective \mid NounPhrase \\ NounPhrase & \longrightarrow & Noun \end{array}$

Noun	\longrightarrow	car	train
Adjective	\longrightarrow	big	broken

. . .

(and complexity of the grammar)

+ rules for verb tenses, pluralisation etc.

SimpleNLG tool [Gatt and Reiter(2009)] (2/2)

with grammars for EN, FR, ES, PT, NL, DE, and Galician

```
<Document>
 <child xsi:type="SPhraseSpec">
    <subj xsi:type="VPPhraseSpec" FORM="PRESENT PARTICIPLE">
      <head cat="VERB">
        <base>refactor</base>
      </head>
    </subi>
    <vp xsi:type="VPPhraseSpec" TENSE="PRESENT" >
      <head cat="VERB">
        <base>be</base>
      </head>
      <compl xsi:type="VPPhraseSpec" FORM="PAST PARTICIPLE">
        <head cat="VERB">
          <base>need</base>
        </head>
      </compl>
    </vp>
 </child>
</Document>
```

Generates: "Refactoring is needed"

https://github.com/simplenlg/simplenlg

The 'NLG pipeline'



 What structured data/info/ knowledge do you want to put into NL sentences?
 In what order should it be presented? 3. Which messages to put together into a sentence?

4. Which words and phrases will it use for each domain concept and relation?

5. Which words or phrases to select to identify domain entities?

6. Use grammar rules to produce syntactically, morphologically, and orthographically correct (and is also meaningful)

Outline

Motivation

- Context
- Notes on NCB languages

Rule-based NLG

• What is CNL, NLG?

• Generating basic sentences in isiZulu

- Extending basic sentences
- 3 On broadening and generalising results
 - Other languages
 - Use of the algorithms in applications

Summary

• Can we use any of these approaches for agglutinating Niger-Congo B languages?

- Can we use any of these approaches for agglutinating Niger-Congo B languages?
 - It depends... but mostly: no

- Can we use any of these approaches for agglutinating Niger-Congo B languages?
 - It depends... but mostly: no
- Tasks:
 - For structured input: use a practically useful language with tool support already (Semantic Web technologies)
 - Start with basics for a grammar engine (develop the new algorithms)
 - Pick an appealing sample domain (e.g., health)
 - Do it in a way so as to benefit both ICT and linguists

• Can we use any of these approaches for agglutinating Niger-Congo B languages?

• It depends... but mostly: no

Tasks:

- For structured input: use a practically useful language with tool support already (Semantic Web technologies)
- Start with basics for a grammar engine (develop the new algorithms)
- Pick an appealing sample domain (e.g., health)
- Do it in a way so as to benefit both ICT and linguists
- First language to experiment with: isiZulu [Keet and Khumalo(2014b), Keet and Khumalo(2014a), Keet and Khumalo(2017a)]

Ontology verbalisation



1. What structured data/info/ knowledge do you want to put into NL sentences? 2. In what order should it be presented?

The NLG 'pipeline' Ontology verbalisation

I. The (OWL) ontology 2. Your choice (e.g., first all classes and class expressions in the TBox, then the object properties, etc.) 3. Which messages to put together into a sentence?

4. Which words and phrases will it use for each domain concept and relation?5. Which words or phrases to select to identify domain entities? 6. Use grammar rules to produce syntac-tically, morphological-ly, and orthographical-ly correct (and is also meaningful)

3. Aim: sentence for each axiom

 Use vocabulary of the ontology; Select term for each constructor in the language (Each/All, and, some/at least one)
 Combine related small axiom, or to relate the

sentences generated for a large axiom

6. Language-specific issues (e.g., singular/plural of the class in agreement with conjugation of the verb, 'a' and 'an' vs 'a(n)', etc.)

34 / 75

1

A logic foundation for isiZulu knowledge-to-text

- Roughly OWL 2 EL
- OWL 2 EL is a W3C-standardised profile of OWL 2
- Tools, ontologies in OWL 2 (notably SNOMED CT)

\mathcal{ALC} syntax

- Concepts denoting entity types/classes/unary predicates/universals, including top ⊤ and bottom ⊥;
- Roles denoting relationships/associations/n-ary predicates/properties;
- Constructors: and $\sqcap,$ or $\sqcup,$ and not $\neg;$ quantifiers 'for all' \forall and 'there exists' \exists
- Complex concepts using constructors: Let C and D be concept names, R a role name, then
 - $\neg C$, $C \sqcap D$, and $C \sqcup D$ are concepts, and
 - $\forall R.C$ and $\exists R.C$ are concepts
- Individuals
- e.g., *Lion* ⊑ ∃*eats*.*Herbivore* ⊓ ∀*eats*.*Herbivore*

Universal Quantification

- Consider here only the universal quantification at the start of the concept inclusion axiom ('nominal head')
- 'all'/'each' uses -onke, prefixed with the oral prefix of the noun class of that first noun (OWL class/DL concept) on lhs of ⊑

```
(U1) Boy ⊑ ...
wonke umfana ...
bonke abafana ...
('all boys...'; u- + -onke)
(U2) Phone ⊑ ...
lonke ifoni ...
onke amafoni ...
('all phones...'; a- + -onke)
```

NC	QC (all)		NEG SC	PRON	RC	QCdwa	EC
	$QC_{oral+onke}$	QC_{nke}					
1	u -onke \rightarrow wonke	wo-	aka-	yena	0-	ye-	mu-
2	$ba-onke \rightarrow bonke$	bo-	aba-	bona	aba-	bo-	ba-
1a	u -onke \rightarrow wonke	wo-	aka-	yena	0-	ye-	mu-
2a	$ba-onke \rightarrow bonke$	bo-	aba-	bona	aba-	bo-	ba-
3a	u -onke \rightarrow wonke	wo-	aka-	wona	0-	ye-	mu-
(2a)	$ba-onke \rightarrow bonke$	bo-	aba-	bona	aba-	bo-	ba-
3	u -onke \rightarrow wonke	wo-	awu-	wona	0-	wo-	mu-
4	i -onke \rightarrow yonke	yo-	ayi-	yona	e-	yo-	mi-
5	$li-onke \rightarrow lonke$	lo-	ali-	lona	eli-	lo-	li-
6	a -onke \rightarrow onke	0-	awa-	wona	a-	wo-	ma-
7	$si-onke \rightarrow sonke$	SO-	asi-	sona	esi-	SO-	si-
8	$ ext{zi-onke} ightarrow ext{zonke}$	zo-	azi-	zona	ezi	zo-	zi-
9a	i -onke \rightarrow yonke	yo-	ayi-	yona	e-	yo-	yi-
(6)	a -onke \rightarrow onke	0-	awa-	wona	a-	wo-	ma-
9	i -onke \rightarrow yonke	yo-	ayi-	yona	e-	yo-	yi-
10	zi -onke $\rightarrow zonke$	zo-	azi-	zona	ezi-	zo-	zi-
11	$lu-onke \rightarrow lonke$	lo-	alu-	lona	olu-	lo-	lu-
(10)	$ ext{zi-onke} ightarrow ext{zonke}$	zo-	azi-	zona	ezi-	zo-	zi-
14	$ba-onke \rightarrow bonke$	bo-	abu-	bona	obu-	bo-	bu-
15	ku -onke \rightarrow konke	zo-	aku-	khona	oku-	zo-	ku-

NC		\mathbf{QC} (all)		NEG SC	PRON	RC	QCdwa	EC
	$\mathbf{QC}_{\mathbf{oral}}$	-onke	QC_{nke}					
1	u-onke –	wonke	wo-	aka-	yena	0-	ye-	mu-
2	ba-onke ·	\rightarrow bonke	bo-	aba-	bona	aba-	bo-	ba-
1a	u-onke —	wonke	wo-	aka-	yena	0-	ye-	mu-
2a	ba-onke ·	\rightarrow bonke	bo-	aba-	bona	aba-	bo-	ba-
3a	u-onke —	wonke	wo-	aka-	wona	0-	ye-	mu-
(2a)	ba-onke ·	\rightarrow bonke	bo-	aba-	bona	aba-	bo-	ba-
3	u-onke —	wonke	wo-	awu-	wona	0-	wo-	mu-
4	$\text{i-onke} \rightarrow$	yonke	yo-	ayi-	yona	e-	yo-	mi-
5	li-onke —	lonke	lo-	ali-	lona	eli-	lo-	li-
6	a-onke —	onke	0-	awa-	wona	a-	wo-	ma-
7	si-onke –	→ sonke	SO-	asi-	sona	esi-	so-	si-
8	zi-onke –	> zonke	zo-	azi-	zona	ezi	zo-	zi-
9a	$\text{i-onke} \rightarrow$	yonke	yo-	ayi-	yona	e-	yo-	yi-
(6)	a-onke —	onke	0-	awa-	wona	a-	wo-	ma-
9	i-onke \rightarrow	yonke	yo-	ayi-	yona	e-	yo-	yi-
10	zi-onke –	> zonke	zo-	azi-	zona	ezi-	zo-	zi-
11	lu-onke -	→ lonke	lo-	alu-	lona	olu-	lo-	lu-
(10)	zi-onke –	> zonke	zo-	azi-	zona	ezi-	zo-	zi-
14	ba-onke	\rightarrow bonke	bo-	abu-	bona	obu-	bo-	bu-
15	ku-onke	\rightarrow konke	zo-	aku-	khona	oku-	zo-	ku-

Subsumption

- Two different ways of carving up the nouns to determine which rules apply: semantic and syntactic
- Need to choose between
 - singular and plural
 - with or without the universal quantification voiced
 - generic or determinate
 - (S1) MedicinalHerb ☐ Plant ikhambi ngumuthi amakhambi yimithi wonke amakhambi ngumuthi
 - (S2) (generic)
 - (S3) (determinate)

- ('medicinal herb is a plant')
- ('medicinal herbs are plants')
- ('all medicinal herbs are a plant')

Possible subsumption patterns

- a. N_1 <copulative ng/y depending on first letter of $N_2 > N_2$.
- b. <plural of N_1 > <copulative ng/y depending on first letter of plural of N_2 ><plural of N_2 >.
- c. <All-concord for NC_x> + onke <plural of N_1 , being of NC_x> <copulative ng/y depending on first letter of $N_2 > N_2$.

Existential Quantification

yonke indlulamithi idla ihlamvana <u>elilodwa</u> zonke izindlulamithi zidla ihlamvana elilodwa ('each giraffe eats <u>at least one</u> twig') ('all giraffes eat <u>at least one</u> twig')

a. <All-concord for NC_x> + onke <pl. N_1 , is in NC_x> <conjugated verb> < N_2 of NC_y> <RC for NC_y><QC for NC_y> + dwa.

Example

- $\forall x \; (\operatorname{Professor}(x) \to \exists y \; (\operatorname{teaches}(x, y) \land \operatorname{Course}(y)))$
- Professor $\sqsubseteq \exists$ teaches.Course
- Each Professor teaches at least one Course

Example

- $\forall x (uSolwazi(x) \rightarrow \exists y (-fundisa(x, y) \land lsifundo(y)))$
- uSolwazi ⊑ ∃ -fundisa.lsifundo
- ?

 $\forall x \text{ (uSolwazi}(x) \rightarrow \exists y \text{ (-fundisa}(x, y) \land \text{ lsifundo}(y))) \\ \text{uSolwazi} \sqsubseteq \exists \text{ -fundisa.lsifundo}$

$\forall x (uSolwazi(x) -$	NC	\mathbf{AU}	PRE	ľv.		I_:())	-	
	1	11_	m(11)-	$[^{n}]$	NC	$\mathbf{QC} (all)$		
$uSolwazi \sqsubseteq \exists -func$	2	u-	ha-	Ľ		QC _{oral+onke}		
	10	11-	- Da-	E	1	u -onke \rightarrow wonke		
look-up NC	20	u-	-	ľ	2	ba-onke \rightarrow bonke		
pluralise ———	30	U-	-	E	1a	u -onke \rightarrow wonke		
	(2a)	u-		Ľ	2a	ba-onke → bonke		
for-all	3	11-	$m(u)_{-}$		3a	u -onke \rightarrow wonke		
	4	i-	mi-		(2a)	$ba-onke \rightarrow bonke$		
	5	i-	(li)-		3	u -onke \rightarrow wonke		
	6	a-	ma-		4	i-onke \rightarrow yonke	:	
	7	i-	si-	ţ.	5	$li-onke \rightarrow lonke$		
	8	i-	zi-		6	a-onke \rightarrow onke		
	9a	i-	-	Ī.	7	$si-onke \rightarrow sonke$	1	
	(6)	a-	ma-		8	zi -onke $\rightarrow zonke$:	
	9	i(n)-	-	Ī.	9a	i-onke \rightarrow yonke		
	10	i-	zi(n)-		(6)	a-onke \rightarrow onke		
	11	u-	(lu)-	ŀ	9	i -onke \rightarrow yonke		
	(10)	i-	zi(n)-		10	zi -onke $\rightarrow zonke$:	
	14	u-	bu-	ŀ	11	$lu-onke \rightarrow lonke$		
	15	u-	ku-	ŀ	(10)	zi -onke $\rightarrow zonke$	1	
	17		ku-		14	$ba-onke \rightarrow bonke$		
Bonke oSolwa	ızi				15	$\text{ku-onke} \rightarrow \text{konke}$:	
							≵⊁ ≣	990

43 / 75



43 / 75

 $\forall x \text{ (uSolwazi}(x) \rightarrow \exists y \text{ (-fundisa}(x, y) \land \text{ lsifundo}(y)))$ $uSolwazi \sqsubseteq \exists \text{ -fundisa}(\texttt{lsifundo})$



3



Bonke oSolwazi bafundisa Isifundo esisodwa

э

Evaluation

- Typical way of evaluating: ask linguists and/or intended target group
- Questions depend on what you want to know; e.g.,
 - Does the text capture the semantics adequately?
 - Must it really be grammatically correct or is understandable also acceptable?
 - Compared against alternate representation (figures, tables) or human-authored text?

Evaluation

- Typical way of evaluating: ask linguists and/or intended target group
- Questions depend on what you want to know; e.g.,
 - Does the text capture the semantics adequately?
 - Must it really be grammatically correct or is understandable also acceptable?
 - Compared against alternate representation (figures, tables) or human-authored text?
- Survey, asked linguists and non-linguists for their preferences
- 10 questions pitting the patterns against each other
- Online, with isiZulu-localised version of Limesurvey

Evaluation – interesting results

- Linguist agreed more among each other than the 'non-linguists'
- More agreement for the shorter sentences
- Open questions on 'deep Zulu' vs 'township Zulu', level of education in isiZulu, dialects
 - Sociolinguistics is not our task to investigate, but it may affect human evaluation results w.r.t. quality, grammaticality, naturalness

Implementation (1/3)



Implementation (2/3)

461	#subsumption
462	<pre>def isa_zu(sub,super):</pre>
463	<pre>if super.startswith('i'):</pre>
464	return sub + ' y' + super
465	<pre>elif super.startswith('a') or super.startswith('o') or super.startswith('u'):</pre>
466	return sub + ' ng' + super
467	else:
468	<pre>return print('this is not a well-formed isiZulu noun.')</pre>

https://github.com/mkeet/GENIproject/

Implementation (2/3)

484	#simple existential quantification
485	<pre># modified cf zulurules to handle also vowel-commencing vroots</pre>
486	<pre>def exists_zu(sub,op,super):</pre>
487	<pre>nclm = find_nc(sub)</pre>
488	<pre>nc2m = find_nc(super)</pre>
489	<pre>pl = plural_zu(sub,nc1m)</pre>
490	<pre>nc2 = strip_m(nc2m)</pre>
491	<pre>ncp = look_ncp(nc1m)</pre>
492	<pre>qca = look_qca(ncp)</pre>
493	<pre>rc = look_relc(nc2)</pre>
494	<pre>qc = look_qce(nc2)</pre>
495	<pre>rt = find_rt(op)</pre>
496	<pre>if rt[0] in 'aeiou':</pre>
497	<pre>conjugrt = sc_vowel_vroot(rt,ncp)</pre>
498	else:
499	<pre>sc = look_sc(ncp)</pre>
500	conjugrt = sc + rt
501	return qca + ' ' + pl + ' ' + conjugrt + 'a' + ' ' + super + ' ' + rc + qc + 'dwa'

https://github.com/mkeet/GENIproject//¹⁵

Implementation (2/3)

450	<subclassof></subclassof>
451	<class iri="#indlovu"></class>
452	<class iri="#isilwane"></class>
453	
454	<subclassof></subclassof>
455	<class iri="#indlovu"></class>
456	<objectsomevaluesfrom></objectsomevaluesfrom>
457	<objectproperty iri="#dla"></objectproperty>
458	<class iri="#ihlamvana"></class>
459	
460	

https://github.com/mkeet/GENIproject/~ 47/75

Sentences outputted as pretty printing or plaintext (3/3)



48 / 75

Outline

Motivation

- Context
- Notes on NCB languages

Rule-based NLG

- What is CNL, NLG?
- Generating basic sentences in isiZulu
- Extending basic sentences
- On broadening and generalising results
 - Other languages
 - Use of the algorithms in applications

Summary

Figuring out the present tense



Figuring out the present tense [Keet and Khumalo(2017b)]

- Verb, and start of the grammar: v → pre vr post a wh | npre vr post i wh | ppre vr e | vr st a | excl s cont o vr post a
- 2. Prefix (subject and object concord, tense, mode, and aspect):

- Negative prefix (negation; e.g. 'does not' eat): npre → ns | ns m | ns t m | ns asp m | ns o | ns m o | ns t m o | ns asp m o
- List of subject concords and negative subject concords (terminals for conjugation):

```
s \rightarrow ngi | u | si | ni | ba | i | li | a | zi |

lu | bu | ku | \varepsilon

ns \rightarrow angi | awu | aka | ali | asi | avi |
```

```
alu abu aku ani aba awa azi \varepsilon
```

6. List of mod:

```
m \to \texttt{a} \mid \texttt{e} \mid \texttt{ka} \mid \texttt{ma} \mid \texttt{nga} \mid \varepsilon
```

7. List of tense (present (ε) and continuous (ya)tense; incomplete):

```
t \rightarrow ya \mid \varepsilon
```

List of aspect (additional rules omitted in this first iteration):

```
asp \rightarrow sa \mid se \mid be \mid ile \mid \varepsilon
```

9. List of object concords:

```
o \rightarrow ngi | si | ku | ni | m | ba | wu | yi |
li | wa | zi | lu | bu | \varepsilon
```

10. Causative:

 $c \rightarrow is$

- 11. Applicative: $a \rightarrow el$
- 12. Reciprocative:

```
r \rightarrow {
m an}
```

 Passive (with phonological conditioning options):

```
p \to \texttt{iw} \mid \texttt{w}
```

- Politeness (own prefix system and a FV=e): ppre → pl s pl → aw | awu | ε | ma
- Stative (insertion of the -*ek* between the VR and the FV): st→ ek
- 16. Wh-questions (in the post-final slot and are added at the end of the verb, being -ni 'what'/'who'/ 'why'/'how', -nini 'when', and -phi 'where');

 $wh \rightarrow ni \mid nini \mid phi \mid \epsilon$

- 17. 'Double aspect'/exclusive (with $excl \subset asp$) $excl \rightarrow se$
- 18. Continuous tense (with $cont \subset t$): $cont \rightarrow ya$
- 19. Lexicon of verb roots: $vr \rightarrow ab \mid \ldots \mid zwib$
Evaluation – is the grammar any good?

• Does it generate a verb that is correct?

(if yes: good)

- Does it generate incorrect verbs? (if yes: rules missing or a wrong rule) • Can it not generate a correct verb? (if yes: rules missing or a wrong rule) • Can it not generate an incorrect verb? (if yes: good) Does it recognise a correct verb? (if yes: good) Does it recognise incorrect verbs? (if yes: rules missing) • Does it reject correct verbs? (if yes: rules missing or too restrictive) Does it reject incorrect verbs?
 - $(\square) (\square$

Evaluation – this can be automated too

JFLAP http://www.cs.duke.edu/csed/jflap/

● ⊖ ⊖			JFLAP v8.0 (Beta)(zuverbCFGwPost2PoliteStative1WH2ad.jflap)			
File Help					×	
				Grammar Editor	rute Force Parser	
Input: r	nibonis	elana			Set Change	
Step C	Comple	te Reset				
Input acc	epted!	Change vie	w to see o	derivation!	•	
V	\rightarrow	A R B a N	Brute Parse Table			
V	\rightarrow	C R B i N	Level	Total Nodes	Current Derivations	
V	\rightarrow	E S Q O R	1	5	[A R B a N, C R B i N, E S Q O R B a, J R e, R L a]	
V	\rightarrow	JR e	2	131	[R B a N, S R B a N, S M R B a N, S M O R B a N, S	
V	\rightarrow	R L a	3	1721	[LaBaN, RaN, RFGaN, RFGHaN, RFGIa	
А	\rightarrow	λ	4	13321	[LaFGaN, LaFGHaN, LaFGIaN, LaFHa	
A	\rightarrow	S	5	64421	[LaFelaN, LaFGa, LaFelHaN, LaFGan	
А	\rightarrow	S M	6	221137	[LaFelanaN, LaFelHa, LaFGana, LaF	
А	\rightarrow	SMO	7	586940	[LaFelana, Riselana, niLaisela N, ni	
А	\rightarrow	S O	8	1168074	[niLaiselanaN, niLaiselHa, niLais	
А	\rightarrow	S P M	9	1168099	[niboniselana]	
		0.0.10				

Evaluation – this can be automated too

JFLAP http://www.cs.duke.edu/csed/jflap/

	Derivation Tree	Derivation Table
Production		Derivation
		V
V->A R B a N		A R B a N
A->S		S R B a N
S->n i		n i R B a N
R->bon		n i b o n B a N
B->FGH		n i b o n F G H a N
F−>i s		nibonis G H a N
G->e I		n i b o n i s e l H a N
H->a n		n i b o n i s e l a n a N
$N \rightarrow \lambda$		niboniselana

Extensions: part-whole relations

- Part-whole relations are used widely in medical and healthcare ontologies
- Many different types (23 in OpenGalen)
- Would that be convenient 1:1 translations?

Extensions: part-whole relations

- Part-whole relations are used widely in medical and healthcare ontologies
- Many different types (23 in OpenGalen)
- Would that be convenient 1:1 translations?
 - No. both less and more specific ones: ontological differences
 - Other complications with verbs and prepositions
 - Details in: [Keet and Khumalo(2016)] [Keet(2017)] [Keet and Khumalo(2018)]

Further extensions and updates

- Adding (more) data-to-text to the knowledge-to-text
- Numbers [Mahlaza et al.(2022)], attributes (\sim adjectives), etc. etc.
- Option: application-driven prioritization for what to look into
- Rules-based approach is a slow process

Further extensions and updates

- Adding (more) data-to-text to the knowledge-to-text
- Numbers [Mahlaza et al.(2022)], attributes (\sim adjectives), etc. etc.
- Option: application-driven prioritization for what to look into
- Rules-based approach is a slow process
- A better architecture and system for the grammar, alike a 'SimpleNLG but then for NCB languages' (in the pipeline [Mahlaza and Keet(2021)])
- A better way to store the lexicon

What about ML and such for NLG?

- Feasibility of using machine learning or deep learning for templates:
 - Lack of good and relevant data (the bible and Ubuntu software manual are out-of-domain for healthcare messages)
 - Need comparatively more data (recall agglutination and type-to-token ratio)
 - Needs good NLU algorithms (POS tagging, morphological analysers)
 - Computing the language models is computationally expensive
 - The systems "hallucinates" and has spurious repetitions, in English at least
- Jan Buys commenced with that approach

Outline

Motivation

- Context
- Notes on NCB languages
- 2 Rule-based NLG
 - What is CNL, NLG?
 - Generating basic sentences in isiZulu
 - Extending basic sentences
- On broadening and generalising results
 - Other languages
 - Use of the algorithms in applications

Summary

Outline

Motivation

- Context
- Notes on NCB languages
- 2 Rule-based NLG
 - What is CNL, NLG?
 - Generating basic sentences in isiZulu
 - Extending basic sentences

On broadening and generalising results

- Other languages
- Use of the algorithms in applications

Summary

Initial results

- Tried that in detail with Runyankore [Byamugisha et al.(2016)]: it's faster than starting from scratch; (also shown by [Bosch et al.(2008)] for morphological analysers)
- Multilingual pluraliser, with a new table for the noun classes to make it deterministic choices for computation [Byamugisha et al.(2018)]
- Trying to understand morphological and verb similarities as proxies for possibly [easy/not-easy] to bootstrap from/to [Keet(2016), Mahlaza and Keet(2018)]
- Assessing bootstrappability between vs across Guthrie zones w.r.t. ontology verbalisation; zones indeed are not a good predictor [Byamugisha(2019)]

Some practical 'loose ends'

• Where to best store the NC info needed for ontology verbalisation?

• What if your language doesn't have an ISO language tag?

• (There are more engineering questions to make it work)

Some practical 'loose ends'

- Where to best store the NC info needed for ontology verbalisation?
 - Ontolex-Lemon is good for declarative information, not for rules
 - Annotation model [Keet and Chirema(2016)]
 - And this for more NCB languages: WikiWorkshop 2022 abstract with a list of requirements: https://wikiworkshop.org/2022/papers/WikiWorkshop2022_paper_31.pdf
- What if your language doesn't have an ISO language tag?

• (There are more engineering questions to make it work)

Some practical 'loose ends'

- Where to best store the NC info needed for ontology verbalisation?
 - Ontolex-Lemon is good for declarative information, not for rules
 - Annotation model [Keet and Chirema(2016)]
 - And this for more NCB languages: WikiWorkshop 2022 abstract with a list of requirements: https://wikiworkshop.org/2022/papers/ WikiWorkshop2022_paper_31.pdf
- What if your language doesn't have an ISO language tag?
 - Create your own!
 - e.g., with MoLA [Gillis-Webber et al.(2019)]
- (There are more engineering questions to make it work)

Outline

Motivation

- Context
- Notes on NCB languages
- 2 Rule-based NLG
 - What is CNL, NLG?
 - Generating basic sentences in isiZulu
 - Extending basic sentences

On broadening and generalising results

- Other languages
- Use of the algorithms in applications

Summary

The NLG algorithms can be used elsewhere: CALL

- Paper-based language learning exercises
- Exercise books have a lot of exercises on 'give plural noun', 'complete verb' etc

The NLG algorithms can be used elsewhere: CALL

- Paper-based language learning exercises
- Exercise books have a lot of exercises on 'give plural noun', 'complete verb' etc
- Our CNL & NLG algorithms already can do that!
- Reuse the algorithms to pluralise and conjugate
- Proof of concept tool [Gilbert and Keet(2018)]; can generate 39501 unique question sentences of two or three words and compute their answers

Tool: architecture



Corpus? Yes, sort of

- Gather common words from text
- Create sets of relevant combinations of nouns and verbs
 - Transitive verbs require an object, intransitive ones do not
 - Refined with semantics; e.g., animals can eat, but furniture can't

Corpus? Yes, sort of

- Gather common words from text
- Create sets of relevant combinations of nouns and verbs
 - Transitive verbs require an object, intransitive ones do not
 - Refined with semantics; e.g., animals can eat, but furniture can't
- Examples:

<u>Noun chain list</u> ubaba <1a> washa;sula;faka;khuluma umzali <1;s> ALL_v;e_dumisa;e_cisha <u>Verb chain list</u> washa <t> imoto;umshini;umnyango sula <> ifasitela;imoto;ipuleti khuluma <t> ALL_1;ALL_1a

nouns may take some verbs, all verbs (ALL_v) with or without exceptions (e_), verbs with some specified classes (e.g., ALL_1) or with nouns in specific noun classes only, such as all people (NC1, NC1a)

Examples of the CNL it uses

- Pluralise subject
 - Q: * Umfowethu bayaphuza
 - A: Abafowethu bayaphuza [prefixSG+stem] [PLSC+VerbRoot+FV] [prefixPL+stem] [PLSC+VerbRoot+FV]

Examples of the CNL it uses

Pluralise subject

- Q: * Umfowethu bayaphuza
- A: Abafowethu bayaphuza [prefixSG+stem] [PLSC+VerbRoot+FV] [prefixPL+stem] [PLSC+VerbRoot+FV]

Negate the verb

- Q: Batotoba
- A: Abatotobi

[PLSC+VerbRoot+FV] [PLNEGSC+VerbRoot+NEGFV]

Examples of the CNL it uses

• Pluralise subject

- Q: * Umfowethu bayaphuza
- A: Abafowethu bayaphuza [prefixSG+stem] [PLSC+VerbRoot+FV] [prefixPL+stem] [PLSC+VerbRoot+FV]

Negate the verb

- Q: Batotoba
- A: Abatotobi

```
[PLSC+VerbRoot+FV]
[PLNEGSC+VerbRoot+NEGFV]
```

• Possible to combine components for new exercises

[prefixSG+stem] [SGSC+VerbRoot+FV] [prefixSG+stem] [prefixPL+stem] [PLNEGSC+VerbRoot+NEGFV] [prefixPL+stem]

- Q: umfowethu usula inkomishi '(my) brother washes the cup'
- A: abafowethu abasuli izinkomishi '(my) brothers do not wash the cups'

From indo-arabic numerals to text

- Financial illiteracy wrt personal finances, exclusion wrt languages banks offer their services in, lack of digital resources
- Design an app for that¹
- Link that to TTS



From indo-arabic numerals to text

- Financial illiteracy wrt personal finances, exclusion wrt languages banks offer their services in, lack of digital resources
- Design an app for that¹
- Link that to TTS
- Those pills in patient discharge notes; <u>amaphilisi ayishumi</u> 'ten pills'
- New feedback in CALL systems; *Uqede <u>imi</u>sebenzi* <u>eziyishumi</u> 'You completed ten exercises'
- First results in [Mahlaza et al.(2022)]



Outline

Motivatior

- Context
- Notes on NCB languages
- 2 Rule-based NLG
 - What is CNL, NLG?
 - Generating basic sentences in isiZulu
 - Extending basic sentences
- 3 On broadening and generalising results
 - Other languages
 - Use of the algorithms in applications



Summary

- Computational view on NCB languages, on CNL and NLG
- Resulted in novelties both in computing and in linguistics
- Toward a tailor-made grammar engine for surface realisation, with customisable templates
- NLG algorithms generic and modularised in the sense that they can be reused in other tools (CALL exercises)
- Low resource languages a challenge for both rule-based and data-driven approaches, but in different ways; take your pick

Collaborators and Funding

IsiZulu Linguist: Langa Khumalo

 Current/former students: Joan Byamugisha, Catherine Chavula, Takunda Chirema, Nikhil Gilbert, Francis Gillis-Webber, Zola Mahlaza, Sindiso Mkhatshwa, Junior Moraba, Gerald Ngumbulu, Musa Xakaza

• NRF CPRR grants: GeNI & MoRENL http://www.meteck.org/files/geni/ http://www.meteck.org/MoReNL/

References I



Sonja Bosch, Laurette Pretorius, and Axel Fleisch.

Experimental bootstrapping of morphological analysers for nguni languages. Nordic Journal of African Studies, 17(2):66–88, 2008.

J. Byamugisha, C.M. Keet, and B. DeRenzi.

Bootstrapping a runyankore cnl from an isizulu cnl.

In B. Davis et al., editors, 5th Workshop on Controlled Natural Language (CNL'16), volume 9767 of LNAI, pages 25–36. Springer, 2016. 25-27 July 2016, Aberdeen, UK.

J. Byamugisha, C. M. Keet, and B. DeRenzi.

Pluralizing nouns across agglutinating Bantu languages.

In 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics (COLING'18), pages 2633–2643. ACL, 2018. 20-26 August, 2018, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA.



Joan Byamugisha.

Ontology Verbalization in Agglutinating Bantu Languages: A Study of Runyankore and Its Generalizability. Phd thesis, Department of Computer Science, November 2019 2019.

A. Gatt and E. Reiter.

Simplenlg: A realisation engine for practical applications.

In E. Krahmer and M. Theune, editors, Proceedings of the 12th European Workshop on Natural Language Generation (ENLG'09), pages 90–93. ACL, 2009. March 30-31, 2009, Athens, Greece.

References II



Nikhil Gilbert and C. Maria Keet.

Automating question generation and marking of language learning exercises for isiZulu.

In Brian Davis, C. Maria Keet, and Adam Wyner, editors, 6th International Workshop on Controlled Natural language (CNL'18), volume 304 of FAIA, pages 31–40. IOS Press, 2018. Co. Kildare, Ireland, 27-28 August 2018.

F. Gillis-Webber, S. Tittel, and C. M. Keet.

A model for language annotations on the web.

In 1st Iberoamerican conference on Knowledge Graphs and Semantic Web (KGSWC'19), volume 1029 of CCIS, pages 1-16. Springer, 2019. 24-28 June 2019, Villa Clara, Cuba.

Mustafa Jarrar, C. Maria Keet, and Paolo Dongilli.

Multilingual verbalization of ORM conceptual models and axiomatized ontologies. Starlab technical report, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium, February 2006. URL http://www.meteck.org/files/ORMmultiverb_JKD.pdf.



C. M. Keet.

An assessment of orthographic similarity measures for several african languages. Technical Report Arxiv.org 1608.03065, University of Cape Town, August 2016. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1608.03065.



C. M. Keet.

Representing and aligning similar relations: parts and wholes in isizulu vs english.

In J. Gracia, F. Bond, J. McCrae, P. Buitelaar, C. Chiarcos, and S. Hellmann, editors, *Language, Data, and Knowledge 2017 (LDK'17)*, volume 10318 of *LNAI*, pages 58–73. Springer, 2017.
19-20 June, 2017, Galway, Ireland.

References III



C. M. Keet and T. Chirema.

A model for verbalising relations with roles in multiple languages.

In E. Blomqvist, P. Ciancarini, F. Poggi, and F. Vitali, editors, *Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Knowledge Engineering and Knowledge Management (EKAW'16)*, volume 10024 of *LNAI*, pages 384–399. Springer, 2016.

19-23 November 2016, Bologna, Italy.

C. M. Keet and L. Khumalo.

Toward a knowledge-to-text controlled natural language of isiZulu. *Language Resources and Evaluation*, 51(1):131–157, 2017a. doi: 10.1007/s10579-016-9340-0.

C. M. Keet and L. Khumalo.

Grammar rules for the isizulu complex verb. Southern African Journal of Language and Linguistics, 35(2):183–200, 2017b.



C. M. Keet and L. Khumalo.

On the ontology of part-whole relations in Zulu language and culture. In S. Borgo and P. Hitzler, editors, 10th International Conference on Formal Ontology in Information Systems 2018 (FOIS'18), volume 306 of FAIA, pages 225–238. IOS Press, 2018. 17-21 September, 2018, Cape Town, South Africa.

C. Maria Keet and Langa Khumalo.

Toward verbalizing logical theories in isiZulu.

In B. Davis, T. Kuhn, and K. Kaljurand, editors, Proceedings of the 4th Workshop on Controlled Natural Language (CNL'14), volume 8625 of LNAI, pages 78–89. Springer, 2014a. 20-22 August 2014, Galway, Ireland.

References IV

C. Maria Keet and Langa Khumalo.

Basics for a grammar engine to verbalize logical theories in isiZulu.

In A. Bikakis et al., editors, Proceedings of the 8th International Web Rule Symposium (RuleML'14), volume 8620 of LNCS, pages 216–225. Springer, 2014b. August 18-20, 2014, Prague, Czech Republic.

C. Maria Keet and Langa Khumalo.

On the verbalization patterns of part-whole relations in isizulu. In 9th International Natural Language Generation conference (INLG'16), pages 174–183. ACL, 2016.

5-8 September, 2016, Edinburgh, UK.



Tobias Kuhn.

A principled approach to grammars for controlled natural languages and predictive editors. Journal of Logic, Language and Information, 22(1):33–70, 2013.



Z. Mahlaza and C. Maria Keet.

Formalisation and classification of grammar and template-mediated techniques to model and ontology verbalisation. Int. J. Metadata, Semantics and Ontologies, 14(3):249–262, 2022.

Z. Mahlaza and C.M. Keet.

Toct: A task ontology to manage complex templates.

In Emilio M. Sanfilippo et al., editors, FOIS 2021 Ontology Showcase, The Joint Ontology Workshops (JOWO'21), volume 2969 of CEUR-WS, 2021.

References V



Zola Mahlaza and C. Maria Keet.

Measuring verb similarity using binary coefficients with application to isixhosa and isizulu.

In Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the South African Institute of Computer Scientists and Information Technologists (SAICSIT'18), pages 65–71, New York, NY, USA, 2018. ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-6647-2. doi: 10.1145/3278681.3278690. URL http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/3278681.3278690.

Zola Mahlaza, Junior Moraba, C. Maria Keet, and L. Khumalo.

Automatically generating isizulu words from indo-arabic numeralst.

In Proceedings of the South African Institute of Computer Scientists and Information Technologists (SAICSIT'22), SAICSIT. EPIC, 2022.

URL http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/3129416.3129443.

K. Winkler, T. Kuhn, and M Volk.

Evaluating the fully automatic multi-language translation of the swiss avalanche bulletin.

In B. Davis, T. Kuhn, and K. Kaljurand, editors, *Proceedings of the 4th Workshop on Controlled Natural Language* (*CNL'14*), volume 8625 of *LNA1*, pages 44–54. Springer, 2014. 20-22 August 2014, Galway, Ireland.

Thank you!

Questions?

My award-winning textbook



A memoir

